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AN IMPORTANT NOTE ABOUT THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION

The attack data discussed in this document is based on attacks targeting an extensive sample of Symantec customers. The attack activity was detected by Symantec between June 24 and July 23, 2005. Symantec uses automated systems to map the IP address of the attacking system to identify the country in which it is located. However, because attackers frequently use compromised systems located around the world to launch attacks remotely, the location of the attacking system may differ from the location of the attacker. Despite the uncertainty that this creates, Symantec feels that this type of data is useful in creating a high-level profile of global attack patterns. The number of contributing sensors in each region varies. Combined with different standard security practices, these variations may result in different attack data being recorded in each region. This may preclude valid comparisons between regions.
Executive Summary

This Symantec Security Update offers a brief summary of Internet security activity for the month of July 2005. The update covers developments in vulnerabilities, attacks, malicious code and spam. This report will discuss security developments in the APAC region over the past month.

Symantec maintains one of the world’s most comprehensive databases of security vulnerabilities, covering over 13,000 vulnerabilities affecting more than 30,000 technologies from over 4,000 vendors. This report will discuss three vulnerabilities disclosed during the month of July that Symantec analysts have identified as being particularly noteworthy, either because of their severity or because they represent an interesting development. The vulnerabilities discussed include two in the Microsoft Windows operating system, and one that applies to the cross-platform Web browser Mozilla Firefox. All three vulnerabilities have the potential to compromise system integrity. All three may be mitigated by the application of patches recently released by the vendors.

Symantec comprehensively tracks attack activity across the entire Internet. Over 20,000 sensors deployed in over 180 countries by Symantec DeepSight™ Threat Management System and Symantec™ Managed Security Services gather this data. The attack statistics discussed in this document are based on attacks detected by these sensors between June 24 and July 23, 2005.

During the month of July 2005, the top attack, worldwide was related to the SQL Slammer worm. While this worm was first detected in January 2003, it continues to propagate. The most common attack originating in the APAC region between June 24 and July 23, 2005 was the Debian Linux HTTPd Attack. Used by 26% of all attacking IP addresses located in the APAC region, this attack is a Web-related attack that often depends on the presence of a specific string in the URL request for the signature.

Bot-network computers, computers compromised by remote control programs and used in concert for attacks, remain a problem for networks. Recognizing the ongoing threat posed by bot networks, Symantec tracks the distribution of bot-infected computers around the world. The city that had the highest percentage of bot-infected computers in the APAC region this month was Seoul, which was also home to the highest number of bot-infected computers worldwide.

Symantec gathers data from over 120 million desktops that have deployed Symantec’s antivirus products in consumer and corporate environments. The Symantec Digital Immune System™ and Scan and Deliver technologies allow customers to automate this submission process. The top reported malicious code worldwide reported to Symantec from June 24 to July 23, 2005, was the P variant of the Netsky Virus. For the Asia Pacific region, the top reported malicious code was the Lineage trojan. This Lineage trojan attempts to steal information related to the Legends of Mir game, which is popular in the APAC region.

The Symantec Probe Network consists of millions of decoy email addresses that are configured to attract a large stream of spam attack; representative of spam activity across the Internet as a whole. During July, the most common type of spam, both worldwide in the Asia Pacific region and worldwide, was related to commercial products. In addition, a
majority of the spam detected worldwide originated from computers located inside North America.

**Top Vulnerabilities**

Symantec maintains one of the world’s most comprehensive databases of security vulnerabilities, covering over 13,000 vulnerabilities affecting more than 30,000 technologies from over 4,000 vendors.

Symantec has analyzed vulnerabilities reported between June 24 and July 23, 2005 and identified three of the most noteworthy high-severity vulnerabilities. High-severity vulnerabilities are those that result in a compromise of the entire system if exploited. In almost all cases, successful exploitation can result in a complete loss of confidentiality, integrity and availability of data stored on or transmitted across the system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BID Number</th>
<th>Vulnerability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14214</td>
<td>Microsoft Windows Color Management Module ICC Profile Buffer Overflow Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14087</td>
<td>Microsoft Internet Explorer Javaprxy.DLL COM Object Instantiation Heap Overflow Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14242</td>
<td>Mozilla Firefox Set As Wallpaper Arbitrary Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Top vulnerabilities, July 2005

The Microsoft Windows Color Management Module International Color Consortium (ICC) Profile Buffer Overflow vulnerability was originally disclosed on July 12, 2005. The ICC standard is a universal standard designed to ensure that colors are represented in the same way on all operating systems and platforms.

This vulnerability can be exploited when the Microsoft Color management System library (mscms.dll) processes an image containing malicious ICC data. Many applications that display images on Microsoft Windows platforms will be affected by this vulnerability, including Microsoft Internet Explorer and Microsoft Office software. This vulnerability allows an attacker to compromise an application to gain privileges of the user running it. For example, if an administrator were running the vulnerable application, the attacker would gain administrator privileges.

This vulnerability can be exploited by causing an application that uses the vulnerable Microsoft Windows component to display a malicious image. An attacker can deliver the malicious image through an email attachment, inside a Microsoft Word document, or on a Web page. When a vulnerable application, such as Internet Explorer, attempts to display the malicious image, the malicious ICC data within the image file triggers the vulnerability and exploitation occurs. Remotely exploitable buffer overflow vulnerabilities are particularly dangerous, as skilled attackers can carry out exploitation without alerting a target user to the attack.

---

1. A buffer overflow vulnerability exists when a process fails to limit the user data that it will store. This allows an attacker to force the vulnerable process to store more data than it was intended to, causing the excess data to overwrite critical values stored in memory. The attacker can then manipulate the vulnerable process and insert malicious instructions that will be executed.
Symantec advises users and administrators to apply the appropriate patches to all affected Microsoft Windows products. It may also be possible to reduce exposure to attacks by educating users to be extremely cautious about visiting potentially malicious Web sites, following untrusted links or viewing image attachments in unsolicited emails.

The Microsoft Internet Explorer JView Profiler Javaprxy.dll Component Object Model (COM) Object Installation Heap Overflow\(^3\) Vulnerability\(^4\) was made public on June 29, 2005. The JView Profiler is a COM object application included with the Microsoft Java Virtual Machine, which allows Java applications to be used on the Microsoft Windows operating system and through the Microsoft Internet Explorer Web browser. This vulnerability allows an attacker to compromise a browser to gain privileges of the user running the vulnerable Internet Explorer. For instance, if an administrator were running Internet Explorer, the attacker would gain administrator privileges.

Exploitation occurs when the vulnerable Internet Explorer Web browser loads a malicious site designed to invoke the vulnerable JView COM object. By passing malicious data an attacker can trigger the vulnerability. Remotely exploitable heap and buffer overflow vulnerabilities are particularly dangerous, as skilled attackers can carry out exploitation without alerting a target user to the attack.

Symantec advises users and administrators to apply the appropriate patches to all affected Microsoft Internet Explorer packages. Administrators should also implement intrusion detection systems to monitor HTTP traffic for potential attacks, and to filter them out before they become successful. It may also be possible to reduce exposure to these attacks by educating users to be extremely cautious about visiting potentially malicious Web sites or following links in unsolicited emails.

The Mozilla Firefox Set As Wallpaper Arbitrary Code Execution Vulnerability\(^5\) was first disclosed on July 13, 2005. Mozilla Firefox is a popular, freely available Web browser and although it runs on multiple operating system (OS) platforms including Microsoft Windows, Linux, and Apple Mac OS X, some functionality, including the ‘Set As Wallpaper’ feature, is not available on all platforms limiting the risk to certain OS platforms. Mozilla Firefox allows users to easily save images presented on Web pages directly as their desktop image or wallpaper. This vulnerability allows an attacker to compromise a browser to gain privileges of the user running the vulnerable Mozilla Firefox. For example, if an administrator was running Mozilla Firefox, the attacker would gain administrator privileges.

Exploitation is carried out through a malicious Web page that includes a malformed image with a JavaScript source URI. Exploitation is triggered when a user sets the malicious image as their desktop image using the 'Set as Wallpaper' feature. When the use right clicks on the malicious images and selects the 'Set as Wallpaper' option, the JavaScript URI is executed with their privileges.

---

\(^3\) A heap overflow vulnerability is similar to a buffer overflow vulnerability, the only difference is that a different region of memory (heap memory) is affected.

\(^4\) http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/14087

\(^5\) http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/14242
The latter two vulnerabilities discussed in this section affect Web browsers. As outlined in the previous two volumes (September 2004 and March 2005) of the Symantec Internet Security Threat Report, Web browser vulnerabilities have become much more common targets of attacks. This can be attributed to the widespread implementation and use of browser on both home and corporate computers. The success of Web browser attacks is helped by the fact that Web traffic is not typically filtered by firewalls, so that such attacks are able to bypass traditional perimeter security. As a result, attackers can gain access to an entire network by exploiting one vulnerable desktop browser; as a result, an unpatched Web browser can be a significant risk primarily due to the widespread deployment inside an organization.

Symantec advises users and administrators to upgrade all affected Mozilla Browsers to the latest, patched versions. It may also be possible to prevent attacks that exploit this vulnerability by implementing intrusion detection systems to monitor HTTP for signs of attack, and filter them out before they can become successful. To reduce exposure to attacks, Symantec recommends educating users to be extremely cautious about visiting untrusted Web sites or following links embedded in unsolicited emails.

**Top Attacks**

The most common attack originating in the APAC region between June 24 and July 23, 2005 was the Debian Linux HTTPd Attack. Used by 26% of all attacking IP addresses located in the APAC region, this attack is a Web-related attack that often depends on the presence of a specific string in the URL request for the signature. This attack is characterized by an attempt to access a URL of “/doc/”. On some older Debian configurations, this URL will give read access to the /usr/doc directory, allowing an attacker to determine what software is installed on a system, possibly allowing further compromise. This attack, while older, may entice an attacker to further investigate a system, as it indicates an insecure default configuration.

As with all Web application vulnerabilities, administrators should ensure that up-to-date patches are applied. Web application target systems often provide a public service; therefore, systems providing public access should be segmented from private networks by a firewall or demilitarized zone (DMZ). This will limit network exposure should a compromise occur. All public IP addresses should be scanned and audited to ensure that only legitimate services are running.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World Rank</th>
<th>Top Attacks - Worldwide</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Attackers</th>
<th>Affected Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SQLExp Incoming Worm Attack</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>Microsoft SQL Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Generic HTTP CONNECT TCP Tunnel Attack</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>Generic Web (HTTP) Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Debian Linux httpd Attack</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>Generic Web Attack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Top attacks worldwide, July 2005

Source: Symantec Corporation
Between June 24 and July 23, 2005, the second most common attack originating in the APAC region was the most common attack worldwide, the SQLExp Incoming Worm Attack, also known as the Slammer Attack. Performed by 20% of the attacking IP addresses located in the APAC region, this attack is commonly associated with three high-profile malicious code samples: Slammer, Gaobot, and Spybot. The attack affects both the Microsoft SQL Server and the MSDE (Microsoft Desktop Engine) that is included with some third-party software, which makes it difficult to patch all vulnerable systems.

The high ranking of this attack is likely due to two factors related to the use of UDP as the transport mechanism. First, the use of UDP allows a complete attack to be sent to every potential victim computer, regardless of whether SQL Server is installed or running. Most intrusion detection systems will therefore interpret each attempt as a full attack, even if the destination computer is not turned on. Secondly, the use of UDP also allows this attack to come from a spoofed source address, which may inflate the number of observed source IP addresses. Slammer did not spoof its source; however, as the attack is now used by other malicious code this ability could be added.

This attack is particularly risky for mobile computers. A single infected host within a network, such as an infected laptop that is connected to the network, either directly or by VPN, can allow the malicious code to propagate internally. Perimeter filtering of Microsoft SQL ports and strong policy compliance can significantly reduce the risk of compromise by this attack.

The third most common attack detected originating in the APAC region between June 24 and July 23, 2005 was Microsoft Windows DCOM RPC Interface Buffer Overrun Attack. This attack was initially exploited by the Blaster and Welchia worms, and has since been targeted by bot-network applications, including Gaobot and Spybot. This attack, used by 9% of the attackers in the region, is exploited through the Microsoft Windows Remote Procedure Call system. Malicious code such as the Blaster and Welchia worms,

---

6 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.sqlexp.worm.html  
7 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.hllw.gaobot.aa.html  
8 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.spybot.worm.html  
9 UDP does not require that any form of synchronization be done before data is sent and accepted by the target service. By contrast, an attack that uses TCP must go through the three-way handshake to synchronize the systems prior to data being sent; therefore, a TCP-based attack will only be seen if the service being targeted is accepting connections. In the case of UDP, the attacking system can simply send the complete attack without regard for whether the service is listening.  
10 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.blaster.worm.html  
11 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.welchia.worm.html
can compromise both servers and workstations, and bot network applications such as Gaobot and Spybot can allow an attacker to obtain sensitive system information, and possibly use the compromised system to attack computers further in the affected organization.

Administrators should filter unsolicited traffic bound for the affected service at the network perimeter in order to reduce exposure to this attack. Symantec advises that all host computers should be upgraded to the latest, fixed versions of the affected operating system to eliminate threats from this attack.

**Top Cities by Bot-Infected Computers**

Bot-infected computers operate in a coordinated fashion under the direction of an attacker and can number in the hundreds or thousands. These networks of computers can scan for and compromise additional computers and may be used to perform denial of service attacks.

Bot network computers are a concern for a variety of reasons, some directly attributable to infection, and some as an indirect consequence of bot network behavior. A single infected host within a network, such as an infected laptop that is connected to the network, either directly or by VPN, can allow the malicious code to propagate internally. Additionally, bot computers can act in concert to perform DoS attacks utilizing bandwidth of both the target and source computers in the attack.

Recognizing the ongoing threat posed by bot networks, Symantec tracks the distribution of bot-infected computers both worldwide (table 4) and across the APAC region (table 5). In order to do this, Symantec calculates the number of computers worldwide that are known to be infected with bots and assesses which cities are home to the highest percentages of these computers. The identification of bot-infected computers is important, as a high percentage of infected machines could mean a greater potential for bot-related attacks. It may also indicate the level of patching and/or security awareness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World Rank</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage of World's Bots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Seoul</td>
<td>Korea, South</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Winsford</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Top three bot-infected cities, Worldwide, July 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region Rank</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage of Region's Bots</th>
<th>World Rank</th>
<th>Percentage of World's Bots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Seoul</td>
<td>Korea, South</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Top bot-infected cities, Asia Pacific region, July 2005

In the March 2005 edition of the Internet Security Threat Report, Symantec speculated that a city’s rate of bot infection is related to two factors: the size of the city and the rate of broadband growth in that city. Seoul, Beijing and Taipei are the three cities with the highest percentage of bot-infected computers in the Asia Pacific region. This is not
surprising, as they are three of the largest cities in the region, and all contain significant high-tech infrastructure, including widespread or growing high-speed Internet connectivity. Seoul accounts for over one quarter of all the identified bot-infected computers, with Beijing and Taipei accounting for 18 and 11 percent respectively. These cities account for 65% of the region’s bot-infected computers. Worldwide they account for 9% of the bot network traffic.

To prevent against bot infection, Symantec recommends that end users practice defense in-depth, including the deployment of antivirus, firewall and intrusion detection solutions. Security administrators should also ensure that ingress and egress filtering is in place to block known bot-network traffic and that antivirus definitions are updated regularly.

**Malicious Code**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Worldwide Sample</th>
<th>APAC Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Netsky.P</td>
<td>Lineage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tooso.J</td>
<td>Redlof.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lineage</td>
<td>Gaobot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Desktophijack</td>
<td>Lemir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Spybot</td>
<td>Spybot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mytob.EE</td>
<td>Desktophijack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tooso.B</td>
<td>Mytob.EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gaobot</td>
<td>Netsky.P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fugif</td>
<td>Pinfi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bancos</td>
<td>Tooso.B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Top ten malicious code, July 2005

The top ten malicious code reported to Symantec from the Asia-Pacific region is similar to the top ten worldwide submissions with the exception of the Lemir Trojan and the Pinfi virus. Pinfi is a virus that infects Win32 portable executable files on an infected computer and any mapped network drives the computer can access. The virus also tries to hide its presence by only infecting a few files at a time. This reduces the possibility of detection by an infected user by not causing a large spike in CPU and disk usage while files are being infected.

Malicious code that attempts to steal account information—such as usernames and passwords—for online games continues to be prevalent. Both the Lineage Trojan, the most frequently reported malicious code in the APAC region, and the Lemir Trojan, the fourth most frequently submitted, attempt to steal this information for the popular Lineage and Legends of Mir games. These games appear to have larger followings in the Asia Pacific region, which likely accounts for the greater prevalence of the corresponding threats in this region than others. The APAC region accounted for 45% of all reports of the Lineage Trojan received by Symantec for the month of July.

---

13 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/pwsteal.lemir.html
14 http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.pinfi.html
Redlof\textsuperscript{15} was the second most frequently reported malicious code in the APAC region. It is a polymorphic, encrypted virus written in visual basic script (VBS) that infects script files, including HTML and VBS files. The virus inserts its code into these files so that other users opening them will also be infected. Since HTML files are often used in Web pages and email messages, the virus has a high potential to propagate to other users. Redlof was first reported to Symantec in April 2002.

Gaobot,\textsuperscript{16} the third highest malicious code sample in the APAC region during this period, was first reported to Symantec in November 2003. It is an IRC bot that allows remote attackers to take various actions on a compromised computer, ranging from performing denial of service attacks to downloading and executing remote files. Gaobot has been seen using several methods in order to compromise new hosts, such as copying itself to network shares with weak passwords to exploiting remote vulnerabilities in Windows services. The source code for this bot is also widely available online, making it easy for attackers to make customized versions that exploit newer vulnerabilities and join specified channels on IRC servers.

**Spam**

The Symantec Probe Network consists of millions of decoy email addresses that are configured to attract a large stream of spam attack; representative of spam activity across the Internet as a whole. An attack can consist of one or more spam messages, and is defined as a group of similar messages. The data used in this analysis is based on the spam messages detected by Symantec Probe Network sensors between June 24 and July 23, 2005. It will assess spam activity according to two criteria: the type of product or service with which it is associated and the region from which the spam originated.

**Spam by Type**

Symantec assesses spam messages and analyzes them according to the type of product or service with which they are associated. Symantec has assessed both worldwide spam and spam detected by probes based in the Asia Pacific region. During the month of July, the most common spam worldwide (figure 1) was related to commercial products (merchandise not included in other categories, such as fake Rolex watches, printer supplies, jewelry and other consumer goods), accounting for 24% of the spam worldwide. Spam related to financial products or services was the second most common type, making up 22% of all worldwide spam messages, this category includes mortgages, stock tips and credit card offers. Finally, spam related to scams, including the common 419 or Nigerian scam, made up 11% of global spam messages. The scam category includes home-based businesses, offers to run a online casino from your PC, and other get-rich schemes.

\textsuperscript{15} http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/vbs.redlof.a.html
\textsuperscript{16} http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/w32.hlw.gaobot.html
A very similar pattern was detected in the Asia Pacific region. During the month of July, the most common type of spam messages detected by probes in the Asia Pacific region (figure 2) was also related to commercial products, which accounted for 22% of detected message. Financial services made up the second most common type, 21%. The third most common type of spam messages during this period was related to Internet-related offers, including cheap software (12%).
Spam – Region of Origin

North America continues to be the highest region of origin for spam detected by the Symantec Probe Network. Symantec believes that this is likely due to the widespread accessibility to cheaper broadband connectivity in this region, although Europe and Asia also have high rates of broadband connectivity. As more spam is likely to be sent from hijacked desktop computers, Symantec expects to continue to see large amounts of spam coming from those regions with high bandwidth capabilities.

As many spammers attempt to redirect attention away from their place of operation, this could also lead to less spam “originating” from the regions within which spammers are actually located. Spammers can build networks of compromised computers globally and utilize only those networks that are geographically disparate from their place of operation. In doing so, they will likely focus on compromised computers in those regions with the largest bandwidth capabilities. Following this logic, the region from which the spam originates may not correspond with the region in which the spammers are located.

Under this scenario, a spammer based in Europe could be more likely to send spam to European recipients from non-European IP spaces. When the same spammer sends spam to the Americas, the spam can be sent from an American-based IP to an American recipient with less risk of prosecution for the European spammer (versus sending spam locally to European recipients from European IPs).
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